## Budget Committee

April 26 ${ }^{\text {th }}, 2023$

| School Level | Projected | Actual | 2023-24 <br> Projected $*$ | 2023-24 Projected vs <br> 2022-23 Projected |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| District Elementary | 11,993 | 11,978 | 11,830 | $(163)$ |
| District Middle | 6,127 | 6,196 | 6,194 | 67 |
| District High | 7,403 | 7,416 | 7,563 | 160 |
| District Special Centers | 451 | 351 | 355 | $(96)$ |
| District Virtual | 662 | 375 | 291 | $(371)$ |
| Charter Schools | 1,764 | 1,749 | 1,933 | 169 |
| Scholarships | 2,061 | 2,032 | 3,807 | 1,746 |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 0 , 4 6 1}$ | 30,097 | 31,973 | $\mathbf{1 , 5 1 2}$ |

* New April FTE Forecast

| ELEMENTARY | CHARTER | SCHOLARSHIP | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PARKER | 33 | 23 | 56 |
| FOSTER | 26 | 6 | 32 |
| LAKE FOREST | 100 | 41 | 141 |
| LITTLEWOOD | 22 | 42 | 64 |
| METCALFE | 91 | 30 | 121 |
| WILLIAMS | 49 | 20 | 69 |
| ALACHUA | 67 | 68 | 135 |
| ARCHER | 21 | 32 | 53 |
| SHELL | 69 | 22 | 91 |
| TERWILLIGER | 32 | 52 | 84 |
| IDYLWILD | 103 | 71 | 174 |
| GLEN SPRINGS | 30 | 46 | 76 |
| RAWLINGS | 141 | 33 | 174 |
| HIGH SPRINGS | 12 | 64 | 76 |
| HIDDEN OAK | 27 | 33 | 60 |
| WILES | 39 | 80 | 119 |
| LAWTON CHILES | 14 | 67 | 81 |
| MEADOWBROOK | 23 | 59 | 82 |
| NEWBERRY | 31 | 72 | 103 |
| NORTON | 41 | 57 | 98 |
| TALBOT | 17 | 50 | 67 |
| IRBY | 66 | 77 | 143 |
| Out of District/not zoned ACPS | 149 | 64 | 213 |
| Total Elementary | 1,203 | 1,109 | 2,312 |
| MIDDLE | CHARTER | SCHOLARSHIP | TOTAL |
| LINCOLN | 37 | 30 | 67 |
| BISHOP | 31 | 20 | 51 |
| WESTWOOD | 41 | 101 | 142 |
| HAWTHORNE | 15 | 7 | 22 |
| MEBANE | 62 | 71 | 133 |
| HIGH SPRINGS (same as above) | 3 | 29 | 32 |
| FT. CLARKE | 18 | 58 | 76 |
| KANAPAHA | 28 | 93 | 121 |
| OAK VIEW | 8 | 62 | 70 |
| Out of District/not zoned ACPS | 43 | 31 | 74 |
| Total Middle | 286 | 502 | 788 |


| HIGH | CHARTER | SCHOLARSHIP | TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GAINESVILLE | 101 | 93 | 194 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HAWTHORNE (same as above) | 11 | 9 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NEWBERRY | 27 | 55 | 82 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SANTA FE | 14 | 76 | 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LOFTEN (no students) | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EASTSIDE | 116 | 34 | 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BUCHHOLZ | 69 | 125 | 194 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Out of District/not zoned ACPS | 30 | 29 | 59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Total High | $\mathbf{3 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 8 9}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DISTRICT TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## House Budget Highlights

- Increases the Base Student Allocation from $\$ 4,587$ to $\$ 5,348$, an increase of $\$ 761$.
- Rolls all categorical allocations into the BSA but does not repeal implementing requirements.
- Total additional funds per FTE of $\$ 468$ for district students.
- Replaces the District Cost Differential (DCD) with Comparable Wage Factor (CWF)
- Additional projected increase in Family Employment Scholarships of 46k students.
- $\$ 109$ million in State Reserve for potential FES enrollment increases.
- Requires Districts to share 1.5 mill capital funds with Charters.
- Significant FRS rate increases which would cost our District over \$10 million.


## Senate Budge Highlights

- Increases the Base Student Allocation from $\$ 4,412$ (modified) to $\$ 4,594$, an increase of \$182.
- Provides additional categorical funding, for a total additional funds per student of \$333.
- Removes Family Empowerment Scholarship students from the FEFP.
- Maintains the District Cost Differential (DCD)
- Additional projected increase in Family Employment Scholarships of 98k students.
- $\$ 350$ million in State Reserve for potential FES enrollment increases.
- Appropriates capital funds for Charters.
- FRS rate increases in line with historical increases.

Was "2 mills" until reduced to 1.75 mils in FY 2008. Reduced to 1.5 mills in FY 2009.

Prompted by dramatic reduction of sales tax revenues state-wide that the state used to fund education at the onset of the recession.

Capital taxing authority was reduced and the RLE was increased by the same amount. Explained as a temporary way to ensure adequate funding for school operations while not impacting taxpayers. Once sales tax revenues recovered, state would reduce the RLE and reinstate the 2-mills for capital.

## History of the 1.5 Mill

- Reduction of School Tax, combined with falling property values led to a significant reduction in capital revenues throughout the state.
- As of FY 2022, property values had recovered and first year the statewide tax revenue from 1.5 mills exceeded the amount was earned in FY 2008, by just over $1 \%$. At the same time, the cost of construction, materials and equipment has increased significantly.
- This led to deferred maintenance of facilities and a
- Many School Districts chose to seek voter approval for additional capital funds to fund basic capital needs including maintenance of facilities which serve as hurricane shelters.

1 Mill Funds (millions)


## Magnet Transportation

## Magnet Transportation Stats

- 64 routes transporting magnet students
- 11,155 daily miles
- 1,811 magnet students transported
- There are no magnet only routes


## Magnet Transportation Cost

- \$479,722 in annual staffing costs
- $\$ 300,317$ in estimated fuel costs
- Total estimated cost $\$ 780,039$


## The number of routes is the primary cost driver for transportation

- Number of Buses
- Number of Drivers
- Number of Route Coordinators
- Number of Mechanics

2012-13 Ridership 12,358
2022-23 Ridership $\frac{7,704}{(4,654)}$

- Diesel Fuel

Hypothetical Reduction to 90 Routes

| Position | Current <br> Positions | Revised <br> Positions | Budgetary <br> Impact |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bus Drivers | 150 | 110 | $(\$ 1,500,929)$ |
| Bus Aides | 54 | 90 | $1,189,880$ |
| Total | 204 | 200 | $(\$ 311,048)$ |

## High Dose Tutors: Tier 2 \& 3 support for students

## Current Program

- There are 2 Behavior Para ll's assigned to provide HDT at each elementary school.
- Each secondary school has 1 Behavior Para Il to provide HDT.
- Greatest challenge to the HDT program is adherence to frequency \& intensity of literacy intervention for identified students.
- Data supports student gains when HDT time is protected.


## Recommendations

- Amend ESSER to provide each school with 2 Behavior Para II's.
- Utilize school data to determine how to hire \& assign paras to meet district ABC Targets.
- Provide specialized training (literacy/behavior support) based on assignment.
- Collect Time \& Effort logs for evidence of project implementation.
- Utilize student data for program evaluation.

| Revenue |  | Estimated <br> Source |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Semester 1 <br> Student Fees | 140 Students @ <br> $\$ 50$ per Student | $\$ 7,000$ |
| Semester 2 <br> Student Fees | 140 Students @ <br> $\$ 50$ per Student | $\$ 7,000$ |
| Summer Student <br> Fees | 185 Students @ <br> $\$ 50$ per Student | $\$ 9,250$ |
| Motorcycle <br> Rental | 46 Weekends <br> @ \$428 | $\$ 19,688$ |
| Slosberg | $21-22$ Funding | $\$ 145,800$ |
| Total <br> Revenue | $\$ 188,738$ |  |


| Cost |  | Estimated Expenditure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Semester 1 Instructors | 74.5 hours per week for 15 weeks | \$33,684 |
| Semester 2 Instructors | 74.5 hours per week for 15 weeks | \$33,684 |
| Summer Instructors | 1,862 hours | \$56,124 |
| Vehicle Related Costs | Service, Fuel, Replacement | \$13,500 |
| TSA | TSA 1.0 FTE | \$104,178 |
| Total Costs |  | \$241,170 |
| Profit (Loss) - (\$52,432) |  |  |

## Drivers Education Student Fees - Current \$50 Lab Fee

| Revenue Source |  | Estimated <br> Revenue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Semester 1 <br> Student Fees | $\begin{gathered} 140 \text { Students @ } \\ \$ 100 \text { per } \\ \text { Student } \end{gathered}$ | \$14,000 |
| Semester 2 <br> Student Fees | $\begin{gathered} 140 \text { Students @ } \\ \$ 100 \text { per } \\ \text { Student } \end{gathered}$ | \$14,000 |
| Summer Student Fees | 185 Students @ \$100 per Student | \$18,500 |
| Motorcycle Rental | 46 Weekends @ \$428 | \$19,688 |
| Slosberg | 21-22 Funding | \$145,800 |
| Total Revenue |  | \$211,988 |


| Cost |  | Estimated <br> Expenditure |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Semester 1 <br> Instructors | 74.5 hours per <br> week for 15 weeks | $\$ 33,684$ |
| Semester 2 <br> Instructors | 74.5 hours per <br> week for 15 weeks | $\$ 33,684$ |
| Summer <br> Instructors | 1,862 hours | $\$ 56,124$ |
| Vehicle Related <br> Costs | Service, Fuel, <br> Replacement | $\$ 13,500$ |
| TSA | 60\% TSA | $\$ 62,507$ |
| Total Costs | Profit (Loss) $-\$ 12,490$ |  |

## Drivers Education Student Fees - Proposed \$100 Lab Fee

## Student Fees, Fines and Charges include:

- Instructional Materials
- Use of school property
- Field trips made during school hours, or made after school hours if the field trip is a required or customary part of a class or extracurricular activity
- Uniform or equipment fees for sports or fine arts programs
- Fees for extra-curricular activities (Fair Share)
- Graduation fees
- School record fees


## Student Fees, Fines and Charges exclude:

- Library fines and other charges made for the loss, misuse, or destruction of school property
- Charges for the purchase of class rings, yearbooks, pictures, diploma covers, or similar items
- charges for optional travel undertaken by a school club or group of students outside of school hours
- charges for admission to school dances, athletic events, or other social events
- Optional community service programs for which fees are charged
- Fundraising activities


## Eligibility Standards

A. Students who qualify for free lunches or breakfasts under the School Free Lunch Program Act.
B. Students who are experiencing homelessness pursuant to Policy 5111.01 Homeless Students.
C. Students whose families have suffered very significant losses of income due to severe illness or injury in the family or unusual expenses including, but not limited to, fire, flood, or storm damage.
D. Other good and just reasons as determined by the Superintendent or designee.

## Notification to Parents

A. Annually, the substance of this policy shall be communicated in writing to the parent(s) or guardian(s) of all students in the District.
B. The first bill or notice sent to parents or guardians who owe fees shall state:

1. The District will waive fees for persons unable to afford them in accordance with its policy.
2. The procedure for applying for a fee waiver, and the name, address and telephone number of the person to contact for information concerning a fee waiver.

| School |  |  | F\&RL |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| F. W. BUCHHOLZ HIGH SCHOOL | Sasketball, Girls | Students | Percentage |
| EASTSIDE HIGH SCHOOL | Spring Football | 15 | $60 \%$ |
| F. W. BUCHHOLZ HIGH SCHOOL | Competitive Cheerleading | 18 | $61 \%$ |
| GAINESVILLE HIGH SCHOOL | Lacrosse, Boys | $61 \%$ |  |
| EASTSIDE HIGH SCHOOL | Football (11 man) | 48 | $62 \%$ |
| GAINESVILLE HIGH SCHOOL | Basketball, Girls | 24 | $63 \%$ |
| HAWTHORNE MIDDLE/HIGH SCHOOL | Football (11 man) | 21 | $67 \%$ |
| HAWTHORNE MIDDLE/HIGH SCHOOL | Spring Football | 12 | $67 \%$ |
| EASTSIDE HIGH SCHOOL | Weightlifting, Boys | 15 | $67 \%$ |
| EASTSIDE HIGH SCHOOL | Basketball, Boys | 36 | $69 \%$ |
| EASTSIDE HIGH SCHOOL | Volleyball, Girls | 14 | $71 \%$ |
| GAINESVILLE HIGH SCHOOL | Spring Football | 46 | $72 \%$ |
| GAINESVILLE HIGH SCHOOL | Football (11 man) | 61 | $72 \%$ |
| EASTSIDE HIGH SCHOOL | Softball | 23 | $74 \%$ |
| HAWTHORNE MIDDLE/HIGH SCHOOL | Basketball, Boys | 12 | $75 \%$ |
| SANTA FE HIGH SCHOOL | Flag Football, Girls | 12 | $75 \%$ |
| GAINESVILLE HIGH SCHOOL | Wrestling, Boys | 14 | $79 \%$ |
| SANTA FE HIGH SCHOOL | Weightlifting, Girls | 10 | $80 \%$ |
| SANTA FE HIGH SCHOOL | Basketball, Girls | 11 | $82 \%$ |

Free \& Reduced counts by School and Sport

## Energy Usage Trend

The energy not used since the beginning of the program from electricity and natural gas would be enough to run 18 of the district's 24 Elementary schools for 1 year.


## Energy Usage

## Energy Use Intensity (EUI)

55.6

Base Year

EUI measures an organization's energy use per square foot.
ACPS has decreased EUI by 16.0\%
*Cost Avoidance Meters Only*


Energy Usage

## Cost Per Unit Impact

The current rates for electricity, natural gas, and water/sewer would have increased the last 12 month's utility spend for ACPS by an additional $\underline{\mathbf{2}, \underline{640}, \underline{920} \text { had district usage been at base }}$ year levels.


| Utility | 2022-23 <br> Budgeted | $2022$ <br> Calendar <br> Year Actual | 2023-24 <br> Projected | 2023-24 Projected vs 2022-23 Budgeted | Estimated Rate Increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water | \$346,305 | \$382,518 | \$403,556 | \$57,251 | 5.5\% |
| Sewer | 440,011 | 428,223 | 460,339 | 20,328 | 7.5\% |
| Refuse | 305,181 | 294,638 | 331,468 | 26,287 | 12\% |
| Natural Gas | 382,477 | 434,911 | 587,129 | 204,652 | 50\% |
| Propane | 275,652 | 280,115 | 420,173 | 144,521 | 35\% |
| Electricity | 7,574,639 | 8,860,095 | 9,746,105 | 2,171,466 | 10\% |
| Total | \$9,324,265 | \$10,680,500 | \$11,948,770 | \$2,624,505 |  |

## 2023-24 Utilities Budget Projections

## 2023-24 Property Reinsurance Premium Projections No FSBIT Capacity

| Renewal Comparison vs Expiring Program |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property | Expiring | Renewal | \% Change | Difference |
| $\quad$ Total Insured Values | $\$ 6,779,887,125$ | $\$ 7,664,707,026$ | $13.05 \%$ | $\$ 884,819,901$ |
| Premium | $\$ 14,977,145$ | $\$ 26,789,713$ | $78.87 \%$ | $\$ 11,812,568$ |
| Average Rate | $\$ 0.2209$ | $\$ 0.3495$ | $58.23 \%$ | $\$ 0.1286$ |
| Total Premium | $\$ 14,977,145$ | $\$ 26,789,713$ | $78.87 \%$ | $\$ 11,812,568$ |
| Terrorism |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Premium | $\$ 37,700$ | $\$ 39,783$ | $5.53 \%$ | $\$ 2,083$ |
| Average Rate | $\$ 0.0006$ | $\$ 0,0005$ | $-6.65 \%$ | $(\$ 0.0000)$ |
| Total Cost of Risk Excl. Taxes, Fees, Surcharges | $\$ 15,014,845$ | $\$ \mathbf{2 6 , 8 2 9 , 4 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 8 . 6 9 \%}$ | $\$ 11,814,651$ |
| $\quad$ Combined Average Rate | $\$ 0.2215$ | $\$ 0.3501$ | $\mathbf{5 8 . 0 7 \%}$ | $\$ 0.1286$ |

- Inclusive of CWIL annualized policy premium (circa \$75K A/P) and cost for All Other Perils excluding Named Windstorm Fidelis capacity



## 2023-24 Property Reinsurance Premium Projections \$5M FSBIT Capacity - generates \$2M in premium savings

| Member Name |  | 23 TIV | \$ Difference |  | \% Change | Estimated Premium |  | Estimated Savings: |  | Premium Increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alachua | \$ | 1,128,772,384 | \$ | 117,621,228 | 11.63\% | \$ | 3,650,110.83 | \$ | 301,038 | \$1,409,168 |
| Charlotte | \$ | 938,090,530 | \$ | 99,535,152 | 11.87\% | \$ | 3,033,502.99 | \$ | 250,184 |  |
| Citrus | \$ | 685,043,950 | \$ | 69,699,303 | 11.33\% | \$ | 2,215,226.36 | \$ | 182,698 |  |
| DeSoto | \$ | 160,231,438 | \$ | 17,406,027 | 12.19\% | \$ | 518,140.34 | \$ | 42,733 |  |
| Gadsden | \$ | 158,954,808 | \$ | 16,669,354 | 11.72\% | \$ | 514,012.10 | \$ | 42,392 |  |
| Lafayette | \$ | 48,049,586 | \$ | 4,729,044 | 10.92\% | \$ | 155,377.93 | \$ | 12,815 |  |
| Madison | \$ | 120,447,431 | \$ | 11,920,066 | 10.98\% | \$ | 389,490.81 | \$ | 32,123 |  |
| Okaloosa | \$ | 989,202,500 | \$ | 104,765,091 | 11.85\% | \$ | 3,198,783.74 | \$ | 263,815 |  |
| Okeechobee | \$ | 253,537,484 | \$ | 29,569,297 | 13.20\% | \$ | 819,864.07 | \$ | 67,617 |  |
| St. Johns | \$ | 1,686,278,411 | \$ | 258,227,726 | 18.08\% | \$ | 5,452,917.85 | \$ | 449,722 |  |
| Santa Rosa | \$ | 1,041,592,345 | \$ | 109,005,912 | 11.69\% | \$ | 3,368,196.77 | \$ | 277,787 |  |
| Suwannee | \$ | 260,014,445 | \$ | 25,877,992 | 11.05\% | \$ | 840,808.61 | \$ | 69,345 |  |
| Taylor | \$ | 194,491,714 | \$ | 19,793,711 | 11.33\% | \$ | 628,927.78 | \$ | 51,870 |  |
| Totals |  | 7,664,707,026 | \$ | 884,819,901 | 13.05\% | \$ | 24,785,360 | \$ | 2,044,139 |  |


| \$25M xs \$25M |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carrier | Layer | Capacity | \% Participation | Layer Premium | Rate | Part Premium |
| LLOYDS (BRT) | \$25,000,000 | \$500,000 | 2.0000\% | \$9,381,945 | \$0.1224 | \$187,639 |
| Lloyds (MAP) | \$25,000,000 | \$1,125,000 | 4.5000\% | \$9,866,667 | \$0.1287 | \$444,000 |
| \$25M xs \$50M |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Carrier | Layer | Capacity | \% Participation | Layer Premium | Rate | Part Premium |
| Velocity | \$25,000,000 | \$1,250,000 | 5.0000\% | \$11,250,000 | \$0.1468 | \$562,500 |
| \$25M xs \$75M |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Carrier | Layer | Capacity | \% Participation | Layer Premium | Rate | Part Premium |
| Argo BDA | \$25,000,000 | \$2,125,000 | 8.5000\% | \$10,000,000 | \$0.1305 | \$850,000 |

